Children and Young People Overview and Scrutiny Panel - Performance Index 2015/16 PROPOSAL



			_	 Benchmarking and trend 					Merton 2015/16 performance												***************************************
No.	Performance Indicators	Target 2015/16	Polarit	Merton 2013/14	Merton 2014/15	England 2013/14*	London 2013/14*	BRAG rating	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15 / Q1	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15 / Q2	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15 / Q3	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16 / Q4	Notes
1	Number of Common and Shared Assessments undertaken (CASAs)	Not a target measure	High	707		n/a	n/a	n/a													Quarterly (Time lag in collating CASAs from partner agencies) YTD
2	% of Single Assessments completed within the statutory 45 days	95%	High	81%		82%	78%	Green example													Year to Date
3	% of Education, Health and Care plans issued within statutory 20 week timescale	TBC	High	n/a		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Cumulative YTD Academic Year, from 1 September 2014 only to be reported in January 2015.
Child	protection																				
4	Child Protection Plans rate per 10,000	Not a target measure	n/a	39		37	34	n/a													Monthly - as at the end of the month
5	Number of children subject of a Child Protection Plan	Not a target measure	n/a	182		n/a	n/a	n/a													Monthly - as at the end of the month
6	Number of family groups subject of Child protection plans	Not a target measure	n/a	86		n/a	n/a	n/a													Monthly - as at the end of the month
7	% of Children subject of a Child Protection Plan with an allocated Social Worker	100%	High	100%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Monthly - as at the end of the month
8	% of quorate attendance at child protection conferences	95%	High	93%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Monthly - as at the end of the month
- و	of reviews completed within timescale for Children with Child Protection Plans	TBC	High	93%		96%	98%	Green example													Year To Date (NI 67)
	of Children subject of a Child Protection Plan who had a (28 Gay) CP visit in timescale (child seen)	TBC	High	53%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Monthly - as at the end of the month
	% of Children that became the subject of a Child Protection Plan for the second or subsequent time	10%	Low	11%		14%	13%	Green example													Year To Date (NI 65)
Look	After Children			<u> </u>									<u> </u>								
•	Looked After Children rate per 10,000	Not a target measure	n/a	33		60	55	n/a													End of the month snapshot
13	Number of Looked After Children	Not a target measure	n/a	150		n/a	n/a	n/a													End of the month snapshot
14	% of Looked After Children with an allocated Social Worker	100%	High	100%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Year to Date
15	Average number of weeks taken to complete Care proceedings against a national target of 26 weeks	27 weeks	Low	29		33	Data not available	Green example													Quarterly
16	% of Looked After Children cases which were reviewed within required timescales	100%	High	97%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Year To Date (NI 66)
17	% of Looked After Children participating in their reviews in month	90%	High	87%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Monthly with Quarter YTD
18	Stability of placements of Looked After Children - number of moves (3 moves or more in the year)	15%	Low	13%		11%	Data not available	Green example													Year To Date (NI 62)
19	Stability of placements of Looked After Children - length of placement	75%	High	58%		67%	Data not available	Green example													End of the month snapshot (NI 63)
20	%of Looked After Children placed with agency foster carers	34%	Low	50%		n/a	n/a	Green example													Quarterly
21	Number of in-house foster carers recruited	20	High	14		n/a	n/a	Green example													Quarterly
22	Number of Looked After Children who were adopted and agency Special Guardianship Orders granted	13	High	15		n/a	n/a	Green example													Year to Date

		Target 2015/16	.∻	Benchmarking and trend					Merton 2015/16 performance												
No.	Performance Indicators		Polari	Merton 2013/14	Merton 2014/15	England 2013/14*	London 2013/14*	BRAG rating	Apr-15	May-15	Jun-15 / Q1	Jul-15	Aug-15	Sep-15 / Q2	Oct-15	Nov-15	Dec-15 / Q3	Jan-16	Feb-16	Mar-16 / Q4	Notes
nile	drens Centres and Schools																				
23	% outcome of all Children Centre Ofsted inspections good or outstanding (overall effectiveness)	100%	High	100%		69%	76%	Green example													Year to Date
24	% of total 0-4 year estimated ACORN estimated population from areas of deprivation (IDACI 30%) whose families have accessed children's centre services	75%	High	78%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Year to Date
25	% outcome of School Ofsted inspections good or outstanding (overall effectiveness)	TBC	High	87%		79%	86%	Green example													Year to Date
26	Number of Primary permanent exclusions (Number YTD Academic year)	0	Low	0 (Academic Year 2012-2013)		n/a	n/a	Green example													August End of Acad. Yr. YTD (August data interim until November). September start of the new Acad. Yr.
27	Number of Secondary permanent exclusions (Number YTD Academic year)	TBC	Low	12 (Academic Year 2012-2013)		n/a	n/a	Green example													August End of Acad. Yr. YTD. September start of the new Acad. Yr.
8	% of Secondary persistent absenteeism (15% absence)	8%	Low	5.8% (2013)		7.4% (2012)	6.1% (2012)	Green example													Annual Measure 2.5 terms DfE Published SFR maintained and academies
9	% of Reception year surplus places	5%	Low	3.8%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Annual measure
0	% of Secondary school (Year 7) surplus places inc. Academies	5%	Low	12.3%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Annual measure
uı	ng People and Services																				
C	Uuth service participation rate	2,000	High	2032		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Annual Measure
ĺ	% of CYP (16 - 18 year olds) not in education, employment or raining (NEET)	3%	Low	5%				Green example													Monthly
₹	% of CYP (16 - 18 year olds) education, employment or training atus 'not known'	Not a target measure	Low	5%				Green example													Monthly
′	Rate of proven re-offending by young people in the youth distice system	1.1	Low	1.1		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Quarterly (NI 19)
5	Number of First Time Entrants (FTFs) to the Youth Justice	75	Low	88		n/a	n/a	Green example													Year to Date
6	Number of 'Troubled families' turned around (Transforming families programme)	TBC	High	185 TD		n/a	n/a	Green example													Quarterly
7	% of commissioned services for which quarterly monitoring was completed	100%	High	100%		Data not available	Data not available	Green example													Quarterly
_	* Benchmarking data for England and London 2014/15 will be a	vailable once	e publis	hed by the Di	E this is antic	ipated from O	ctober to Dec	ember 2015 for	Social Care	indicators (2	014/15) and	January 201	5 for Educat	ion indicato	rs (Septemb	er 2014)					